ATENT COOPERATION TRE

From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

To:

DESHMUKH, Jay R. RANBAXY LABORATORIES LIMITED 600 College Road East, Suite 2100 Princeton, New Jersey 08540 ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE PETERNAL PETERNAL PETERNAL PETERNAL PETERNAL PETERNAL PROPERTY PET

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Rule 71.1)

Date of mailing

(day/month/year)

11.01.2005

Applicant's or agent's file reference

RLL-316WO

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

International application No.

PCT/IB 03/05994 15.12.2003

Priority date (day/month/year) 16.12.2002

Applicant

RANBAXY LABORATORIES LIMITED et al.

- 1. The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary examination report and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- 2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.

International filing date (day/month/year)

3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

4. REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)) (see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

The applicant's attention is drawn to Article 33(5), which provides that the criteria of novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability described in Article 33(2) to (4) merely serve the purposes of international preliminary examination and that "any Contracting State may apply additional or different criteria for the purposes of deciding whether, in that State, the claimed inventions is patentable or not" (see also Article 27(5)). Such additional criteria may relate, for example, to exemptions from patentability, requirements for enabling disclosure, clarity and support for the claims.

Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:

9)

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 **Authorized Officer**

Parriche, S

Tel. +49 89 2399-7890



ATENT COOPERATION TRI TY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference RLL-316WO				FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)				
International application No.				International filing date	(day/mon	th/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)	
PC	PCT/IB 03/05994 15.12.2003				•	16.12.2002		
	nationa C51/		ent Classification (IPC) or	both national classification	and IPC			
						•		
	icant NBAX	 (Y LA	BORATORIES LIMI	TED et al.				
L								
1.	This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.							
2.	This	REP	ORT consists of a tota	l of 6 sheets, including t	his cover	sheet.		
	This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).							
	The	se anı	nexes consist of a tota	of sheets.				
			<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
3.	This	repoi	t contains indications	relating to the following i	tems:			
	1	\boxtimes	Basis of the opinion					
	41		Priority .					
	, III,	\boxtimes	Non-establishment o	f opinion with regard to i	novelty, ir	nventive step a	and industrial applicability	
	IV		Lack of unity of inver	ntion			•	
·	V	\boxtimes	Reasoned statement citations and explana	under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) wations supporting such st	rith regard atement	d to novelty, in	ventive step or industrial applicability;	
	VI		Certain documents c	ited				
	VII		Certain defects in the	e international application	n			
	VIII		Certain observations	on the international app	lication		*.	
	ı			•				
Date	Date of submission of the demand			Date of	completion of th	nis report		
16.0	16.07.2004				11.01.	2005		
Name and mailing address of the international				onal	Authoria	zed Officer	nat Pilm	
preliminary examining authority: European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465				656 epmu d	Merce Telepho	y, J one No. +49 89 2	2399-8956	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/IB 03/05994

 Basis of the rep 	οπ
--------------------------------------	----

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	_						
	Des	scription, Pages					
	1-6		as originally filed				
	Cla	ims, Numbers	· ;				
	1-2	0	as originally filed				
2.	Witl lang	With regard to the language , all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
	The	These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:					
		the language of a tra	inslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).				
		the language of publ	ication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).				
		the language of a tra Rule 55.2 and/or 55.	inslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under 3).				
3.	Witl inte	h regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the ernational preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:					
		contained in the inte	rnational application in written form.				
		filed together with the	e international application in computer readable form.				
		furnished subsequer	ntly to this Authority in written form.				
		furnished subsequer	ntly to this Authority in computer readable form.				
		The statement that the international a	he subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure pplication as filed has been furnished.)			
		The statement that the listing has been furnitude.	ne information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence ished.	Э			
4.	The	amendments have re	esulted in the cancellation of:				
		the description,	pages:				
		the claims,	Nos.:				
		the drawings,	sheets:				
5.			established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).				
		(Any replacement sh report.)	eet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to th	is			
6.	Add	itional observations, i	f necessary:				

Ш.	. Nor	n-establishment of opinion w	ith reg	gard to nove	elty, inventive step and industrial appl	icability			
1.		The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non-obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:							
		☐ the entire international application,							
	☐ claims Nos. 20 with respect to industrial applicability								
		because:							
	\boxtimes	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 20 relate to the following subject matter wh does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):							
		see separate sheet							
-		the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so u that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):							
the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supporte could be formed.					ely supported by the description that no n	neaningful opinior			
		no international search report	earch report has been established for the said claims Nos.						
	or a	n meaningful international preliminary examination cannot be carried out due to the failure of the nucleotide and r amino acid sequence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative nstructions:							
		the written form has not been furnished or does not comply with the Standard.							
		the computer readable form has not been furnished or does not comply with the Standard.							
٧.	Rea cita	easoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; tations and explanations supporting such statement							
1.	Stat	ement							
	Nov	elty (N)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-19 20				
	Inve	entive step (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-19				
	Indu	strial applicability (IA)	Yes:	Claims	1-19				

No: Claims

see separate sheet

2. Citations and explanations

Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

Claim 20 relates to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of this claim (Article 34(4)(a)(I) PCT).

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- 1) There is an error in the structural formula (I) in Claims 1, 6 and 19 and on page 1 of the description, said formulae including an erroneous CH2 group adjacent to the COOH group. This opinion is based on the correct structure.
- 2) Claim 6 comprises all the features of Claim 1 and is therefore not appropriately formulated as a claim dependent on the latter (Rule 6.4 PCT). In addition, it would appear essential to the invention that the organic solvent is added "after the oxidation reaction is complete" (cf. page 5, lines 1-2), the current wording embracing the addition of the organic solvent during the oxidation, the aim of the present invention apparently being to avoid just this (cf. page 2, lines 15-17).

D1: WO-A-9500480 D2: US-A-4254129

NOVELTY (Article 33(2) PCT)

3.1) D1 discloses a process for the preparation of an acid of the present formula I by oxidation of an alcohol of the present formula III (cf. Scheme I on page 129, step d₅ on page 135, lines 13-16 which refers back to step d₂ on page 133, line 28 to page 134, line 12, and Exs. 40 & 41), the oxidation agents referred to on pages 133-134 being potassium permanganate, nitric acid, chromium (IV) oxide, nitrogen dioxide, ruthenium (VIII) oxide, nickel peroxide, silver oxide, t-butyl chromate and xenic acid. More particularly Ex. 41C teaches the treatment of the alcohol of present formula III with potassium permanganate, water, acetic acid and phosphoric acid. Water is then added and the reaction mixture is worked up. D1 also describes a process for preparing fexofenadine comprising hydrolysing an ester of 2-(4-cyclopropanecarbonyl-phenyl)-2-methyl-propionic acid to the corresponding ester of 2-[4-(4-chloro-butyryl)-phenyl]-2-methyl-propionic acid (cf.

- Ex. 11), condensing with azacyclonol (cf. Exs. 43-44) and reduction of the product (cf. Ex. 45).
- 3.2) The process of present Claim 1 differs from that of D1 in that the alcohol III is treated with a hydroxide of an alkali metal, in addition to adding an oxidising agent followed by aqueous acidic work up. Claims 2-18 are novel for similar reasons.
- 3.3) With regard to Claim 19, the wording "prepared by the process of claim 1 or 6" has no limiting effect on the claim. The process of present Claim 19 is novel over D1, since it involves the hydrolysis of the acetic acid derivative of formula I, whereas in D1 an acetic acid ester is hydrolysed.
- 3.4) The subject-matter of Claim 20 is not new, since D2 (cf. Claims 8 and 11). describes a method of treating allergic reactions in patients comprising administering to said patient fexofenadine or a pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof, hydrochlorides being mentioned at D2, col. 3, lines 27-32. The wording "prepared by the process of claim 19" has no limiting effect on the claim; i.e. the subject-matter thereof is the same as any such method of treatment comprising administering fexofenadine hydrochloride prepared by a different process.

INVENTIVE STEP (Article 33(3) PCT)

- The subject-matter of Claims 1-19 does not involve an inventive step. 4)
- 4.1) In the light of D1, the problem to be solved by the present invention may be regarded as the provision of an improved process for the preparation of the acid I from the alcohol III, more particularly a process "which does not require the use of any organic solvent during oxidation, rather uses water" (cf. present description, page 2, lines 15-17).
- 4.2) The solution provided by the process of Claim 1 comprises treating the alcohol III with a hydroxide of an alkali metal, and adding oxidising agent followed by aqueous acidic work up.
- 4.3) However, it is not seen how this process solves the problem, it not being apparent what is the effect of adding the alkali metal hydroxide. If a known process is modified by adding a feature which has no technical function, this modification can not contribute to inventive step, even if the skilled person would never think of such a modification. According to page 4, lines 7-11 of the description, the

EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

hydroxide may be used in the form of a solution in, for example, lower alkanols and ketones, namely organic solvents. In such a case, the oxidation would then inevitably be carried out in the presence of an organic solvent. Claim 1 does not specify that the hydroxide is added in aqueous solution (Claim 1 thus being completely silent regarding the presence of water during the oxidation), nor does Claim 1 exclude the presence of organic solvents during the oxidation. Furthermore, it is not at present apparent what is the advantage of the present process over that of Ex. 41C of D1.

- 4.4) Dependent Claims 2-5, "independent" Claim 6 (cf. item 2 above), and dependent Claims 7-18 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of inventive step.
- 4:5) The process of Claim 19 is not inventive, since such a process is analogous to those known in the literature as acknowledged in the application itself (cf. page 5, lines 24-28), D1 describing a process for preparing fexofenadine comprising hydrolysing an ester of 2-(4-cyclopropanecarbonyl-phenyl)-2-methyl-propionic acid to the corresponding ester of 2-[4-(4-chloro-butyryl)-phenyl]-2-methyl-propionic acid (cf. Ex. 11), condensing with azacyclonol (cf. Exs. 43-44) and reduction of the product (cf. Ex. 45).

INDUSTRIAL APPLICABILITY (Article 33(4) PCT)

For the assessment of the present Claim 20 on the question whether it is 5) industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.